

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

THETHESIUS HOSEA MOSLEY,

Plaintiff,

v.

ZEPP,

Defendant.

Case No. 1:23-cv-00421-JLT-EPG (PC)

ORDER REGARDING SUBPOENA
REQUEST

(ECF No. 57).

Plaintiff Thethesius Hosea Mosley is a state prisoner proceeding *pro se* in this civil rights action filed pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. This case proceeds on Plaintiff's deliberate indifference claim against Defendant Zepp.

Defendant filed a motion for summary judgment on June 20, 2024. (ECF No. 41). Plaintiff has yet to respond to the motion, despite multiple extensions. The current deadline for Plaintiff to file his response is November 29, 2024. (ECF No. 54). Primarily, the delay stems from Plaintiff's inability to access his legal materials.

Recently, Defendant responded to the Court's request for information on this issue, stating that Plaintiff was housed in the Mental Health Crisis Bed (MHCB) at California State Prison, Los Angeles County and did not have access to any property because he was admitted to the MHCB. (ECF No. 53).

Following this update, Plaintiff filed a notice of change of address on October 21, 2024, listing Kern Valley State Prison as his current prison. (ECF No. 56). On October 25, 2024,

1 Plaintiff filed a subpoena form and his own declaration. (ECF No. 57). Generally, Plaintiff states
2 that his legal property was confiscated in July and he remains unable to access it, which prevents
3 him from responding to Defendant's motion for summary judgment. It appears that Plaintiff
4 wants the Court to issue a subpoena duces tecum to compel prison officials to return his property
5 to him.

6 Defendant has filed a response to Plaintiff's filing. (ECF No. 62). Generally, Defendant
7 recounts Plaintiff's recent transfer history and difficulties accessing his legal property. Most
8 pertinent, Defendant represents that Plaintiff now has access to his legal property and Defendant
9 will provide Plaintiff with pertinent documents that Plaintiff claims not to have:

10 At this time, it appears that Plaintiff has been issued his property that transferred
11 with him. However, because Plaintiff still contends that he does not have certain
12 documents related to this case, Defendant will provide Plaintiff with new copies of
13 all documents previously served on Plaintiff, including Defendant's initial
14 disclosure production, discovery responses, medical records, and Defendant's
15 motion for summary judgment with supporting documents.

16 (ECF No. 62, p. 5).

17 Upon review of the parties' filings, IT IS ORDERED as follows:

- 18 1. To the extent that Plaintiff asks the Court to subpoena his legal materials, his request is
19 DENIED. (ECF No. 57).
- 20 2. By no later than November 20, 2024, Defendant shall provide Plaintiff with the
21 documents that Defendant lists above.
- 22 3. Plaintiff's deadline to respond to Defendant's motion for summary judgment is extended
23 to **December 20, 2024**.

24 \\\

25 \\\

26 \\\

27 \\\

28 \\\

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: **November 13, 2024**

/s/ Eric P. Gross
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE